



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Although Michael States (V. V. W.V. 188) Washington (V. W. 188)

APPLICATION NO	HLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10 081.885	02/20/2002	Stephen J. Kaufman	94-00	9945
	OC 12 2003			
GREENLEE WINNER AND SULLIVAN P C 5370 MANHATTAN CIRCLE SUITE 201			ENAMINER	
			HADDAD, MAHER M	
BOULDER, CO 80303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1011	0
			DATE MAILED: 02-12-2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10 081.885

KAUFMAN, STEPHEN J.

Office Action 0	10 001:000	RAUFMAN, STEPHEN J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maher M. Haddad	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OFR 1 to after SIX 6. MONTHS from the making data of this communication. 						
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty 30, data a replicit NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor, period of Favure to reply with period certified period for reply with py statute. An irreply received by the Office later than three months after the making earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704.0. 	on the and expression of	"NUMERS from the making date of this communication				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims	=x parte Quayle, 1939	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents t						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	documents have be	en received in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) [] The translation of the foreign language provis	sional application has	heen received				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Nots)	4) intervie 5) Notice (5) Other	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application Control Number: 10 081,885

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - 1. Claims 1-3, drawn to a method of identifying an individual exhibiting symptoms of a muscular dystrophy comprising obtaining a tissue sample from the individual which is known in a normal individual to express $\alpha 7\beta 1$ and determine the level of the translation of the $\alpha 7\beta 1$ integrin using an antibody, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - II. Claims 1 and 4-6, drawn to a method of identifying an individual exhibiting symptoms of a muscular dystrophy comprising obtaining a tissue sample from the individual which is known in a normal individual to express $\alpha 7\beta 1$ and determine the level of the transcription of the $\alpha 7\beta 1$ integrin using reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
 - III. Claims 7-12, drawn to a reporter gene construct comprising a transcription regulatory sequence of a human α 7 integrin gene and a reporter coding sequence; classified in Class 536, subclasses 23.1.
 - IV. Claims 13-14, drawn to a method for identifying a composition which increases expression of an α 7 integrin gene comprising contacting a host cell comprising the reporter gene construct with test composition, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
 - V. Claims 15-16, drawn to a method of alleviating symptoms of a muscular dystrophy which is characterized by levels of α 7 integrin comprising administering to a patient suffering from or susceptible to the muscular dystrophy a composition; classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
 - VI. Claims 17-23, drawn to a method of alleviating symptoms of a muscular dystrophy which is characterized by levels of α7 integrin, dystrophin and or utrophin comprising administering to a patient suffering from or susceptible to the muscular dystrophy a <u>DNA construct</u> comprising an α7 integrin; classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- 2. Groups I-II and IV-VI are different methods. A method of identifying and a method of alleviating differ with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.

Application Control Number: 10 081,885 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

3. Groups III and VI are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the reporter gene construct of Group IV can be used for quantitative imaging, in addition to the methods of alleviating recited.

4. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

- 5. Irrespective of whichever group applicant may elect, applicant is further required under 35 US 121 (1) to elect a single disclosed species to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.
 - A. If Group III is elected, applicant is required to elect a reporter gene construct comprising a transcription regulatory sequence of a human α7 integrin gene and a reporter coding sequence, wherein the reporter coding sequence is a) a green fluorescent protein, b) luciferase, c) β-lactamase, d) β-glactosidease, e) β-glucuronidase or f) an immunological tag protein. These are distinct species because their structures and modes of action are different which, in turn, address different therapeutic endpoints.
 - B. If Group VI is elected, applicant is required to elect a method of alleviating symptoms of a muscular dystrophy, wherein the vector sequence is a) a virus vector sequence or b) a plasmid sequence. These are distinct species because their structures and modes of action are different which, in turn, address different therapeutic endpoints.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently,

6. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application Control Number: 10 081,885

Art Unit: 1644

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maher Haddad whose telephone number is (703) 306-3472. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Maher Haddad, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 February 10, 2003

CHRISTINA CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600